

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | F | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-------------------------|--------|----------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/614,889 07/ | | 7/09/2003 Mamoru Tomatsuri | | 10517/169 | 8941 | |
| 23838 | 7590 | 08/03/2005 | | EXAMINER | | |
| KENYON | & KENY | 'ON | RESTIFO, JEFFREY J | | | |
| 1500 K STI SUITE 700 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| WASHING | | 20005 | 3618 | | | |
| | | | | D. TE . () II ED . 00/02/2005 | | |

DATE MAILED: 08/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application N | lo. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|---|---|---------------------|---|----------------|--------|--|--|--|--|
| | | 10/614,889 | | TOMATSURI ET A | L. | | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | | Jeffrey J. Rest | | 3618 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 | <u>3 May 2005</u> . | | | | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ | This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ T | his action is non- | final. | | | | | | |
| • — | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | | | |
| 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ | 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,5,7 and 9-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,5,7 and 9-18 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 09 July 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | | |
| Attachment | (s) | | | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice 3) Inform | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ No(s)/Mail Date | /08 ₎ 5) | Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa | te | 9-152) | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1, 5, 7, and 9-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Iwatani et al. (US 6,629,512 B2).

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

With respect to claims 1 and 14, Iwatani et al. discloses a hybrid vehicle comprising an engine 10 and motor (not shown, but recited in col. 37, line 3), a heat impartation element 21, an intention determination element or starter 26, and a running control element or ECU 30, wherein said engine is preheated in response to the starter switch being "ON" and a temperature reading of the engine and refrains from starting the engine until preheating is complete, as shown in figures 10,11, and 29-31.

With respect to claims 5, 7, and 9-11, Iwatani et al. discloses the preheating controls as capable of being executed in response to at least two parameters of the gear change ratio (or shifter position), pedal operation, and/or vehicle speed (or output shaft rotation), which would inherently require sensors for each respective element, as recited in columns 37-42.

With respect to claims 12 and 13, at least the engine coolant is heated which would increase drivability, as recited in the abstract.

With respect to claims 15-18, the method recited in these claims is inherently performed in the operation of the hybrid vehicle of Iwatani et al. described above in the rejection of claims 1 and 14.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 5/23/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. With respect to the applicant's arguments concerning claims 1, 14, and 15, the added limitation of the heat impartation control element as being initiated in response to at least one of the accelerator pedal, shift lever, or a predetermined speed,

Art Unit: 3618

is disclosed in Iwatani et al. column 42, lines 9-13, which indicate that the engine preheating can be initiated by "the pedal operation", which reads on the added limitation. For these reasons the rejection stands.

Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey J. Restifo whose telephone number is (571) 272-6697. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (10:00-6:00), alternate Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher P. Ellis can be reached on (571) 272-6914. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/614,889

Art Unit: 3618

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jeffrey J. Restifo Examiner Art Unit 3618